

ANNUAL VRS CONSUMER COMPLAINT LOG June 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012

SUMMARY												
Month/Year	6/2011	7/2011	8/2011	9/2011	10/11	11/2011	12/2011	1/2012	2/2012	3/2012	4/2012	5/2012
Total Complaints (N = 45)	3	7	2	2	4	5	4	6	4	3	3	2

DETAIL						
Complaint Date	Nature of Complaint	Resolution Date	Complaint Resolution Explanation			
6/20/2011	Call Procedure/Technical – Customer expressed concern that he was twice in the VRS queue and his call was not automatically answered. He also reported that his screen froze and was disconnected.	6/20/2011	Concern directed to supervisor for VI workstation technical check. <i>Resolved</i> .			
6/21/2011	Competence – Customer complained that the VI was not able to follow what he was saying, wanted Snap to keep an eye on voicing quality of this particular VI.	6/25/2011	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .			
6/28/11	Call Procedure – Customer unhappy with VI transfers during lengthy call, prefers to stay with same VI throughout.	6/30/11	VRS rules explained to customer; complaint directed to supervisor's attention for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .			
7/5/11	Competence – Customer stated that he was not satisfied with VI quality during the call.	7/8/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .			
7/7/11	Call Procedure – Customer stated that he was not satisfied how the VI processed his call, asked too many questions.	7/9/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .			
7/13/11	Competence – Customer expressed concern about VI looking at another computer during the call, but otherwise said she was skilled at call handling.	7/16/11	Concern directed to supervisor for VI coaching and workstation review. Resolved.			
7/13/11	Competence – Customer complained about VI attitude during call handling.	7/16/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .			
7/14/11	Competence – Customer complained that the VI had an attitude and was not able to easily follow what he was trying to say.	7/18/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .			
7/19/11	Competence – Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call.	7/23/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .			
7/22/11	Call Procedure – Customer felt VI transfer took place too soon during call, prefers to stay with same VI throughout.	7/25/11	VRS rules explained to customer; complaint directed to supervisor's attention for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .			
8/5/11	Competence – Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call.	8/9/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .			
8/24/11	Technical – Customer experiencing freezing issues while attempting to make calls.	8/27/11	Concern directed to technical support for follow up. <i>Resolved</i> .			
9/20/11	Competence – Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call.	9/24/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .			
9/27/11	Competence – Customer complained that the VI had an attitude and switched to another VI too soon during the call.	9/30/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .			
	Date 6/20/2011 6/20/2011 6/20/2011 6/21/2011 6/28/11 7/5/11 7/5/11 7/13/11 7/13/11 7/14/11 7/19/11 7/22/11 8/5/11 8/24/11 9/20/11 9/20/11 7/20/11 7/20/11 7/20/11 7/20/11 7/20/11 7/20/11 7/	Complaint Date Call Procedure/Technical – Customer expressed concern that he was twice in the VRS queue and his call was not automatically answered. He also reported that his screen froze and was disconnected. 6/21/2011 Competence – Customer complained that the VI was not able to follow what he was saying, wanted Snap to keep an eye on voicing quality of this particular VI. 6/28/11 Call Procedure – Customer unhappy with VI transfers during lengthy call, prefers to stay with same VI throughout. 7/5/11 Competence – Customer stated that he was not satisfied with VI quality during the call. 7/7/11 Call Procedure – Customer stated that he was not satisfied how the VI processed his call, asked too many questions. 7/13/11 Competence – Customer expressed concern about VI looking at another computer during the call, but otherwise said she was skilled at call handling. 7/13/11 Competence – Customer complained about VI attitude during call handling. 7/14/11 Competence – Customer complained that the VI had an attitude and was not able to easily follow what he was trying to say. 7/19/11 Competence – Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call. 8/24/11 Call Procedure – Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call. 8/24/11 Technical – Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call. 9/20/11 Competence – Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call.	Complaint Date Call Procedure/Technical — Customer expressed concern that he was twice in the VRS queue and his call was not automatically answered. He also reported that his screen froze and was disconnected. 6/20/2011 Competence — Customer complained that the VI was not able to follow what he was saying, wanted Snap to keep an eye on voicing quality of this particular VI. 6/28/11 Call Procedure — Customer unhappy with VI transfers during lengthy call, prefers to stay with same VI throughout. 7/8/11 Competence — Customer stated that he was not satisfied with VI quality during the call. 7/7/11 Call Procedure — Customer stated that he was not satisfied how the VI processed his call, asked too many questions. 7/13/11 Competence — Customer expressed concern about VI looking at another computer during the call, but otherwise said she was skilled at call handling. 7/16/11 Competence — Customer complained about VI attitude during call handling. 7/14/11 Competence — Customer complained that the VI had an attitude and was not able to easily follow what he was trying to say. 7/18/11 Competence — Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call. 7/22/11 Call Procedure — Customer felt VI transfer took place too soon during call, prefers to stay with same VI throughout. 8/5/11 Competence — Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call. 8/24/11 Technical — Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call. 8/24/11 Competence — Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call. 8/24/11 Competence — Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call. 8/24/11 Competence — Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call. 8/24/11 Competence — Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call. 9/24/11 Competence — Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call. 9/24/11			



ANNUAL VRS CONSUMER COMPLAINT LOG June 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012

15	10/7/11	Competence/Content – Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call, and that the VI was not translating accurately.	10/12/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
16	10/11/11	Procedure/Competence – Customer complained that the VI repeated the number for the call being dialed and skipped words while voicing.	10/16/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
17	10/25/11	Competence – Customer complained about VI attitude during handling of a prior call.	10/30/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
18	10/31/11	Competence – Customer stated that he was not satisfied with VI quality during the call.	11/3/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
19	11/1/11	Procedure – Customer stated that the VI hung up at the end of his call without asking if he wished to make another call.	11/6/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
20	11/1/11	Procedure/Technical – Customer stated that she did not have the full attention of the VI handling the call.		Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching and workstation review. <i>Resolved.</i>		
21	11/10/11	Procedure – Customer stated difficulty viewing the VI due to background and clothing colors.	11/12/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching and workstation modifications. <i>Resolved</i> .		
22	11/17/11	Competence – Customer stated that he was not satisfied with VI quality during the call.	11/17/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
23	11/17/11	Competence – Customer stated that she was not satisfied with VI quality during the call.	11/20/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
24	12/8/11	Competence/Content – Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call, and that the VI was not translating accurately.	12/12/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
25	12/12/11	Competence/Content – Customer complained about the VI handling a workplace-related call, and that the VI was not translating accurately.	12/16/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
26	12/15/11	Competence – Customer stated that she was not satisfied with VI quality during the call, wanted the VI to sign higher.	12/20/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
27	12/27/11	Technical – Customer sought help to resolve difficulty in making VCO calls.	12/30/11	Concern directed to technical support for follow up. <i>Resolved</i> .		
28	1/3/12	Procedure – Customer stated that the VI hung up at the end of her call without asking if she wished to make another call.	1/8/12	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
29	1/16/12	Competence – Customer stated that she was not satisfied with VI quality during the call.	1/20/12	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
30	1/17/12	Procedure/Competence – Customer complained about VI quality in handling a workplace-related call, including VI transfer.	1/24/12	VRS rules explained to customer; complaint directed to supervisor's attention for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
31	1/23/12	Procedure – Customer stated that the VI hung up at the end of his call without asking if he wished to make another call.	1/27/11	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
32	1/26/12	Competence – Customer stated that she was not satisfied with VI quality whenever she has this VI handle calls.	1/30/12	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .		
33	1/27/12	Competence – Customer expressed frustration about quality of the	2/4/12	Complaint directed to supervisor for		



ANNUAL VRS CONSUMER COMPLAINT LOG June 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012

		VI handling the call, and that the VI was not translating accurately.		VI coaching. Resolved.
34	2/1/12	Procedure/Technical – Customer complained about VI technical handling of VCO call set up process.	2/6/12	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .
35	2/10/12	Competence – Customer expressed frustration about quality of the VI handling the call.	2/15/12	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .
36	2/22/12	Competence – Customer did not like the demeanor of the VI handling the call.	2/27/12	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .
37	2/23/12	Procedure – Customer complained about VI call handling in general, including transfers and background noises.	2/28/12	Complaint shared with supervisor for general VI training. Resolved.
38	3/20/12	Competence – Customer complained about quality of the VI handling the call.	3/25/12	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. Resolved.
39	3/21/12	Competence – Customer expressed concern about quality of the VI handling the call.	3/27/12	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. Resolved.
40	3/22/12	Procedure – Customer complained about VI transfers during call.	3/27/12	VRS rules explained to customer; complaint directed to supervisor's attention for VI coaching. <i>Resolved</i> .
41	4/11/12	Technical – Customer expressed concern about queue notification when calling into the system.	4/11/12	Noted and forwarded to technical department. Resolved.
42	4/13/12	Competence – Customer complained about quality of the VI handling the call.	4/18/12	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. Resolved.
43	4/27/12	Procedure/Content – Customer stated VI did not adequately transmit automated menu options during call.	5/1/12	Complaint directed to supervisor for VI coaching. Resolved.
44	5/17/12	Procedure – Customer stated difficulty viewing the VI due to dark background.	5/19/12	Complaint directed to supervisor for workstation modifications. <i>Resolved</i> .
45	5/18/12	Competence – Customer complained about quality of two VIs who had handled previous calls.	5/20/12	Complaint documented; both VIs were terminated prior to complaint. <i>Resolved</i> .